UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,716	01/25/2006	Mutsuaki Murakami	20162.12USWO	7935
HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			HA, NGUYEN T	
MIINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-0902		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2831	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/565,716	MURAKAMI ET AL	MURAKAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NGUYEN T. HA	2831		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	Iress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIFUTE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•	merits is	
Disposition of Claims	pante Quayre, 1000 0.21	, 100 010. 210.		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23-31 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	rawn from consideration. l/or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFI	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a light 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National S	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 8/24/2009, with respect to (see argument) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The office action of non-final dated 5/27/2009 has been withdrawn. In view of the new prior art found, the examiner decision to make another rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiraishi et al. (US 6,989,289) in view of Schmidt (US 2004/0054041).

Regarding claim 23, Shiraishi et al. disclose a capacitor comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/565,716

Art Unit: 2831

a positive electrode (1) of a valve metal,

- a dielectric (3) of an anodized film formed on the valve metal, and

- a negative electrode (5) including a composite material in contact with the

Page 3

anodized film,

wherein the composite material includes a conductive polymer and an

electrolyte solution (column 9, lines 11-21).

Shiraishi et al. lack an ionic liquid capable of repairing a defect in the anodized

film.

Schmidt teaches an ionic liquid capable of repairing a defect in the anodized film

(0013).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the ionic liquid as taught by Schmidt, in to Shiraishi et

al. to do so, it would provides an excellent electron conductivity and oxide film for

the electrolytic capacitor.

Regarding claim 24, Shiraishi et al. disclose the conductive polymer includes at

least one selected from polypyrrole (column 22, lines 31-32).

Regarding claim 25, Shiraishi et al. disclose the negative electrode further

includes a metallic part in contact with the composite material (column 19, lines

28-31).

Regarding claim 26, Shiraishi et al. disclose a method forming the capacitor

comprising the steps of:

making the mixture be in contact with the anodized film, and

Application/Control Number: 10/565,716

Art Unit: 2831

 causing polymerization in the mixture to convert the at least one kind of monomer into the conductive polymer (see, claims 1-7).

Page 4

 Shiraishi et al. lack a mixture including the ionic liquid and at least one kind of monomer,

Schmidt teaches a mixture including the ionic liquid and at least one kind of monomer (0013).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the ionic liquid as taught by Schmidt. in to Shiraishi et al. to do so, it would provide an excellent electron conductivity and oxide film for the electrolytic capacitor.

Regarding claim 27, the teaching of Schmidt including the ionic liquid having been included in the mixture is remained in the composite material after the polymerization.

Regarding claim 28, the teaching of Schmidt including the steps of:

- preparing a layer of the conductive polymer, and
- impregnating the layer of the conductive polymer with the ionic liquid (0013).

Regarding claim 29, Shiraishi et al. in view of Schmidt including a source material kit for forming the composite material to be used comprising, an ionic liquid, and at least one kind of monomer.

Regarding claim 30, Shiraishi et al. disclose the monomer is to be used for forming one selected from polypyrrole.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,716 Page 5

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 31, Shiraishi et al. disclose the valve metal is one selected from aluminum, tantalum, niobium (column 6, lines 66-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NGUYEN T. HA whose telephone number is (571)272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nguyen T Ha/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2831